



Sun exposure questionnaire predicts circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in Caucasian hospital workers in southern Italy[☆]

H.E.C. Hanwell^{a,*}, R. Vieth^{a,b}, D.E.C. Cole^b, A. Scillitani^c, S. Modoni^c, V. Frusciante^c, G. Ritrovato^c, I. Chiodini^d, S. Minisola^e, V. Carnevale^c

^a Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Toronto, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1X5

^b Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

^c Ospedale "Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza", IRCCS, San Giovanni Rotondo (FG), Italy

^d Unit of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico IRCCS, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Milan, Italy

^e Department of Clinical Sciences, University of Rome "Sapienza", Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 November 2009

Received in revised form 5 March 2010

Accepted 8 March 2010

Keywords:

Sun Exposure

Vitamin D

UVB

Questionnaire

Caucasian

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Recent sun exposure should correlate with circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] due to ultraviolet B (UVB)-catalyzed cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D.

Methods: A Sun Exposure Score was calculated for healthy adults using a recall questionnaire assessing daily Time in Sun (<5 min, 5–30 min, >30 min) and Skin Exposure (face/hands; face/hands and arms; face/hands and legs; and "bathing suit") for 1 week in each of the winter and summer ($n=47$ and 23 , respectively; $n=18$ participated in both). Concentrations of 25(OH)D were measured by DiaSorin RIA on end-of-week sera.

Results: Mean serum 25(OH)D was higher in summer than winter (58.6 ± 16.5 nmol/L vs. 38.8 ± 29.0 nmol/L, respectively, $P=0.003$ unpaired). The calculated Sun Exposure Score correlated strongly with serum 25(OH)D during summer (Spearman's $\rho=0.59$, $P=0.003$); based on the Pearson coefficient of determination, summer Sun Exposure Score explained 38% of the variability in summer serum 25(OH)D. The Sun Exposure Score did not correlate with 25(OH)D in the winter ($\rho=0.19$, $P=0.210$). The summer correlation was largely explained by the Time in Sun ($\rho=0.58$, $P=0.004$) rather than area of Skin Exposed ($\rho=0.10$, $P=0.660$). Although there was a correlation between winter and summer Sun Exposure Scores ($\rho=0.63$, $P=0.005$), there was no summer vs. winter correlation in serum 25(OH)D ($\rho=0.08$, $P=0.76$).

Conclusion: This simple 1-week sun exposure recall questionnaire predicted summer serum 25(OH)D concentrations, accounting for 38% of the variability in 25(OH)D among healthy Italian adults.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In humans, vitamin D is primarily derived through the interaction of the sun's ultraviolet B (UVB) radiation with a cutaneous cholesterol precursor, 7-dehydrocholesterol. Vitamin D from both cutaneous synthesis and dietary or supplemental intake undergoes hepatic hydroxylation to form 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D), the circulating concentrations of which are the objective biomarker of vitamin D status. A further renal hydroxylation produces the active metabolite, 1,25(OH)₂D.

[☆] Special issue selected article from the 14th vitamin D Workshop held at Brugge, Belgium on October 4–8, 2009.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Nutritional Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, 600 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1X5.

Tel.: +1 416 586 4800x2726; fax: +1 416 586 8628.

E-mail address: heather.hanwell@gmail.com (H.E.C. Hanwell).

The importance of sun exposure for vitamin D status is highlighted by the observations from temperate regions where circulating 25(OH)D concentrations fluctuate annually, lagging approximately 2 months behind the incident solar radiation [1–3]. Interestingly, serum 25(OH)D concentrations among those living in temperate regions are commonly below the established range for optimal vitamin D status [4], not only during winter and early spring, but also throughout much of the year [2,5,6]. It is possible that algorithms may be developed that will direct serum 25(OH)D analyses towards those at highest risk of impaired vitamin D status. We hypothesize that people spending little time outdoors during the day or exposing very little skin to the sun are at an elevated risk of having low serum 25(OH)D concentrations. The present study evaluates this hypothesis by evaluating the relationship between responses to a simple sun exposure recall questionnaire and concurrent serum 25(OH)D concentrations during the winter and summer seasons in healthy adults living in Southern Italy.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Healthy adult Italian Caucasian hospital workers in southern Italy (latitude: 40°N) volunteered for this study, providing signed informed consent. Participants were eligible if physical examinations and routine laboratory tests of renal and hepatic function were normal. Participants were excluded if they had conditions associated with impaired vitamin D metabolism or used medication(s) known to affect calcium metabolism. The ethics committee of the “Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza” Hospital, San Giovanni Rotondo (FG), Italy, approved this study.

2.2. Data collection

The study was carried out during January and February 2003 (“winter” visit), and July and August 2003 (“summer” visit). Fasting blood and random urine samples were collected between 07.00–09.00 h, and stored at –70°C until assayed as part of the assessment of the biochemical parameters related to bone and mineral metabolism. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were analyzed by radioimmunoassay (RIA; DiaSorin, Stillwater, MN, USA) with intra- and inter-assay CVs <7.2% and <12%, respectively. Serum ionized calcium (iCa) was assessed via ion-specific electrode (AVL LIST GmbH Medizintechnik, Graz, Austria).

During the sample collection visit, participants’ recollection of daily sun exposure over the previous week was assessed via a questionnaire administered during the sample collection visit (Fig. 1). There were three choices for the amount of time spent outdoors each day (0 ≤ 5 min, 1 = 5–30 min, and 2 = ≥ 30 min) and four choices for clothing or skin exposure while outdoors (1 = face and hands only; 2 = face, hands and arms; 3 = face, hands and legs; and 4 = “bathing suit”). A score to estimate of their mean weekly sun exposure was calculated: The product of the amount of time spent outdoors and the amount of skin exposed was calculated for each day to create a daily Sun Exposure Score (min = 0, max = 8). All seven days’ Sun Exposure Scores were summed to equal the weekly Sun Exposure Score (min = 0, max = 56). Similarly, the weekly Time in Sun Score and weekly Skin Exposure Score were each calculated by summing the seven daily scores (respectively, min = 0, max = 14 and min = 7, max = 28).

2.3. Statistics

All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Means and standard deviations describe parametric data; medians and interquartile ranges describe non-parametric data. The Pearson coefficient of determination and Spearman rho describe relationships between the weekly Scores and 25(OH)D, separately for each season. Paired *t*-tests (for comparisons of parametric variables between winter and summer for the *n* = 18 participants completing both visits), unpaired *t*-tests (for comparisons of all participants, *n* = 43 in winter and *n* = 27 in summer) and the Fisher exact test were used to evaluate categorical variables. *P* < 0.05 was set as the limit of significance.

3. Results

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Mean serum 25(OH)D concentrations were higher in summer than winter (respectively, 58.6 ± 16.5 and 38.8 ± 29.0 nmol/L, *P* = 0.003 unpaired). The correlation between the serum 25(OH)D and weekly Sun Exposure Score was significant in summer but not winter (respectively, Spearman rho = 0.59 and 0.19, *P* = 0.003 and 0.212; Fig. 2A). Interestingly, all 47 participants reported Skin Exposure

Table 1

Participant characteristics at the winter and summer visits.

	Winter (<i>n</i> = 47)	Summer (<i>n</i> = 23)	<i>P</i> -Value
Mean age (y) [†]	45.6 ± 13.5	42.2 ± 9.0	0.288
Sex (males:females)	17:30	10:13	0.607
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	25.5 ± 4.5	24.0 ± 3.4	0.126
Serum ionized calcium (mmol/L)	1.21 ± 0.03	1.24 ± 0.2	<0.001
Vitamin D Status			
Mean serum 25(OH)D (nmol/L)	38.8 ± 29.0	58.6 ± 16.5	0.003
25(OH)D < 75 nmol/L (<i>n</i> , %)	41 (87%)	18 (78%)	0.485
25(OH)D < 30 nmol/L (<i>n</i> , %)	25 (53%)	1 (4%)	<0.001

[†] Results presented as means ± SD or *n* participants with percent (in brackets).

only in the lowest category (face and hands) during winter; hence the uneven distribution in Fig. 2C.

In summer, the correlation between the weekly “Time in Sun” score was almost as strongly correlated with serum 25(OH)D as the weekly Total Sun Exposure Score (rho = 0.58, *P* = 0.004; Fig. 2B). In contrast, the amount of skin exposed to the sun (Skin Exposure) did not correlate significantly with serum 25(OH)D (rho = 0.10, *P* = 0.66).

A subgroup of 18 participants (9 males and 9 females) attended both study visits. In these 18 participants, there was no significant correlation between seasons for serum 25(OH)D concentrations (rho = 0.08, *P* = 0.76). The weekly Sun Exposure Scores and Time in Sun Scores were, however, correlated between the summer and winter (respectively, rho = 0.63, *P* = 0.005 and rho = 0.84, *P* < 0.001).

4. Discussion

The present study demonstrates that a simple questionnaire assessing both the amount of time spent outdoors and amount of skin exposed in a given week for healthy Caucasian adults living at latitude 40°N offers a good prediction of 25(OH)D concentrations in summer. This questionnaire involves minimal participant burden but includes a timeframe long enough to estimate typical activity for an individual. This questionnaire could serve as a screening tool to identify patients at increased risk of low sun exposure who might benefit from a serum 25(OH)D determination.

The strength of the relationship detected between sun exposure in the summer and serum 25(OH)D, highlights the key role of summer sun exposure in determining vitamin D status in a Caucasian population at 40°N latitude. The present study did not collect information regarding type of clothing worn, use of sunscreens, or vacation travel, nor was dietary or supplemental intake assessed to quantify exogenous sources of vitamin D. While the relationship might have been improved by inclusion of such additional measures, it also would have increased the complexity of the questionnaire, making routine clinical use less feasible and recall less accurate. Furthermore, skin pigmentation affects the rate of cutaneous vitamin D synthesis, and thus, the relationship between the Sun Exposure Score and circulating 25(OH)D may vary with skin pigmentation. Since all participants were native Italians, future use of this questionnaire in ethnically diverse populations should also objectively query participant skin pigmentation to evaluate its potential influence on the relationship between sun exposure and serum 25(OH)D.

A comparable study of men working outdoors in Nebraska USA focused more intently on the type and quality of clothing in addition to time spent outdoors [7]. Despite more precise description of Skin Exposure in that study, the correlation between sun exposure and serum 25(OH)D concentrations was weaker than in the present study. The stronger summertime correlation observed in the present study may be partly explained by the relative eth-

	Time Outdoors			Amount of Skin Exposed			
	<5 min	5–30 min	>30min	Hands and face	Hands, face, arms	Hands, face, legs	Bathing suit
Monday	0	1	2	1	2	3	4
Tuesday	0	1	2	1	2	3	4
Wednesday	0	1	2	1	2	3	4
Thursday	0	1	2	1	2	3	4
Friday	0	1	2	1	2	3	4
Saturday	0	1	2	1	2	3	4
Sunday	0	1	2	1	2	3	4

Fig. 1. Scoring for the weekly sun exposure recall questionnaire. Shown in gray are the values ascribed to each category and the method for deriving the Sun Exposure Score (sum of the daily products of Time Outdoors and Skin Exposure). The range of the Sun Exposure Score is from the 0 (lowest amount of time spent outdoors and lowest amount of skin exposed) to a maximum score 56 (outdoors for more than 30 min in a bathing suit every day).

nic and cultural homogeneity of the Italian participants. Also, the present correlation was almost entirely driven by Time in Sun, not the amount of exposed skin, suggesting that the categories for time spent in the sun used in this study (<5 min, 5–30 min, >30 min) are easily recalled and likely to be of biological significance. The time-frames were selected based upon unpublished preliminary study in this population and the 30-min time frame used for the highest category is only slightly longer than the estimated time needed to achieve maximal dermal synthesis of vitamin D in fair skinned

individuals with arms and legs exposed to large-angle incident sunshine [8]. The summer Skin Exposure score did not correlate significantly with summer 25(OH)D concentrations. One possible explanation for this finding is that participants with moderately high Skin Exposure when in the sun did not report spending much time in the sun. People who spend very little time in the sun may be expected to have low 25(OH)D; if these participants wear light clothing (arms and/or legs uncovered) most days but are outdoors less than 5 min each day of the week, their 25(OH)D status would




Fig. 2. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations in summer (black open circles) and winter (small grey circles) as a function of Sun Exposure Score (A) derived from one-week recall of Time in Sun (B) and Skin Exposure (C). Sun exposure was determined from a questionnaire scoring for each of the preceding 7 days as follows: Time in Sun: <5 min = 0; ≥ 5 min but ≤ 30 min = 1; and ≥ 30 min. Skin Exposure: Face and hands only = 1; Face and arms = 2; Face, hands and legs = 3; "Bathing suit" = 4. Each day's Time in Sun and Skin Exposure results were multiplied; the sum of all 7 days resulted in derivation of the weekly Sun Exposure Score. Each panel displays the regression line (with regression equation, r^2 and P -value) and the 95% Confidence Interval for the summertime data.

be expected to correspond with their low Time in Sun Scores and low overall Sun Exposure Scores but would not correspond with their high Skin Exposure Scores. It is in these sorts of instances that the importance of querying both Time in Sun and Skin Exposure becomes obvious, as the derived Sun Exposure Score reflects actual sun exposure habits more accurately than querying either variable alone.

In contrast to the summer data, the Sun Exposure Score did not correlate with serum 25(OH)D in the winter. Given that there is insufficient solar UVB to generate vitamin D in the skin at latitudes >40°N during the winter months [8], the participants would not produce vitamin D during time spent outdoors at that time. It would be of interest to investigate the strength of the relationship between winter sun exposure and serum 25(OH)D at varying latitudes and explore this relationship in other populations of varied ethnicities with cultural practices that may affect the relationship between sun exposure and serum 25(OH)D concentrations.

Winter sun exposure habits, particularly time spent in the sun, strongly reflected the summer sun exposure habits. However, in the winter, all subjects indicated that they exposed only their face and hands, resulting in identical responses for the Skin Exposure variable. This finding limited statistical analyses but also highlighted the uniformly minimal wintertime Skin Exposure in this group of Italian adults.

While the present observations may apply only to a Caucasian population (and need to be confirmed in other populations), these

findings emphasize the importance of outdoor activity as a way to maintain adequate vitamin D nutritional status. In spite of its limitations, the present study offers a useful tool for clinicians to administer to patients to obtain a proxy measure of 25(OH)D measurements.

References

- [1] V. Carnevale, S. Modoni, M. Pileri, et al., Longitudinal evaluation of vitamin D status in healthy subjects from southern Italy: seasonal and gender differences, *Osteoporos. Int.* 12 (2001) 1026–1030.
- [2] P.B. Rapuri, H.K. Kinyamu, J.C. Gallagher, V. Haynatzka, Seasonal changes in calciotropic hormones, bone markers, and bone mineral density in elderly women, *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 87 (2002) 2024–2032.
- [3] R. Vieth, D.E. Cole, G.A. Hawker, H.M. Trang, L.A. Rubin, Wintertime vitamin D insufficiency is common in young Canadian women, and their vitamin D intake does not prevent it, *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 55 (2001) 1091–1097.
- [4] B. Dawson-Hughes, R.P. Heaney, M.F. Holick, P. Lips, P.J. Meunier, R. Vieth, Estimates of optimal vitamin D status, *Osteoporos. Int.* 16 (7) (2005) 713–716.
- [5] S. Harris, B. Dawson-Hughes, Seasonal changes in plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations of young American black and white women, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 67 (1998) 1232–1236.
- [6] J.D. Maxwell, Seasonal variation in vitamin D, *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 53 (1994) 533–543.
- [7] M.J. Barger-Lux, R.P. Heaney, Effects of above average summer sun exposure on serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and calcium absorption, *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 87 (2002) 4952–4956.
- [8] A.R. Webb, L. Kline, M.F. Holick, Influence of season and latitude on the cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D₃: exposure to winter sunlight in Boston and Edmonton will not promote vitamin D₃ synthesis in human skin, *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 67 (1988) 373–378.